STAT347: Generalized Linear Models Lecture 13

Winter, 2023 Jingshu Wang

Today's topics:

- Correlated samples /responses in GLM
- Normal linear mixed effect models (LMM)
 - Random intercept and random slope models
 - Hierarchical models for a multi-level design
 - Model estimation: MLE, REML and BLUP

Modeling correlated responses

For the responses: y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n , we have assumed independence, but some samples may be correlated. Examples:

- Kids of one mom, longitudinal data for one individual
- Students in the same classroom with many classrooms
- Multiple individuals measured in one day with many different days

Form of the data: there are $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ groups (individuals / classrooms / days), and each of them has $s = 1, 2, \dots, d_i$ samples. The response is denoted as y_{is} with its covariates x_{is} .

We consider that the correlations are caused by shared latent variables across samples

Formulation of GLMM

Generalized linear mixed model (GLMM):

$$g(\mu_{is}) = X_{is}^T \beta + Z_{is}^T u_i$$

where X_{is} and Z_{is} are observed, and u_i are i.i.d. random variables across i following some unknown distribution F.

- The responses $(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{id_i})$ within each group *i* are correlated because they share the same latent random variable u_i
- $Z_{is}^T u_i$ models that the influences of u_i on different samples depend on some covariate Z_{is}

Two motivations of GLMM

$$g(\mu_{is}) = X_{is}^T \beta + Z_{is}^T u_i$$

- We use GLMM to model dependence structures among samples
- We treat u_i as an unknown coefficient of Z_{is} . We add prior on u_i (make u_i random) to borrow information across i (so that we only need to estimate unknown parameters in F instead of estimating each u_i).
 - Example: $Z_{is} = 1$ assuming group members share a common group-level effect
- The first perspective treats u_i as latent factors, and the second perspective treats u_i as random coefficients

Normal linear mixed models

$$y_{is} = X_{is}^T \beta + Z_{is}^T u_i + \epsilon_{is}$$

- β is a length p vector, and is for fixed effects
- $u_i \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \Sigma_u)$ can be a vector when Z_{is} is a vector. It models the random effects
- $\epsilon_{is} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_e^2)$ are the individual randomness of each sample

Matrix form for each group i:

$$y_i = X_i\beta + Z_iu_i + \epsilon_i$$

where

$$y_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{id_{i}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{i1}^{T} \\ \vdots \\ X_{id_{i}}^{T} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{i1}^{T} \\ \vdots \\ Z_{id_{i}}^{T} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{id_{i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Linear random intercept model

$$y_{is} = X_{is}^T \beta + u_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

• Matrix form for each group *i*:

$$y_i = X_i\beta + u_i1 + \epsilon_i \tag{1}$$

and $\operatorname{Var}(y_i) = \sigma_u^2 \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T + \sigma_e^2 I$

• for any
$$s \neq k$$

$$\operatorname{corr}(y_{is}, y_{ik}) = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2} \ge 0$$

Correlations within group are restricted to be non-negative, why?

Example: a clinical study understanding the effect of a drug treating veterans suffering from chronic alcohol dependence.

- Each individual (veteran) is measured at for time points: 4, 26, 52 and 78 weeks
- Total number of veterans: 627
- The response is a financial satisfaction score
- Each individual is randomly assigned to the drug treatment or placebo treatment
- Two covariates: whether the individual takes the drug or not, the time point
- There are in total 726×4 observations: y_{is}

In our model, we want to consider three aspects

- the drug may have a different effect at different time points
 - So we want to add an interaction term: drug × time points
- the four measures for the same individual are correlated
 - We want to add an individual-specific latent factor (random intercept)
- Time can have a different effect for different individual
 - We want to have a different coefficient of time for different individual, we make the coefficients random slopes if we want to borrow information across individuals

We build the following model:

 $y_{is} = (\beta_0 + u_{i1}) + (\beta_1 + u_{i2})t_s + \beta_2 x_i + \beta_3 t_s x_i + \epsilon_{is}$

- $t_s = \log(\text{week number} + 1), x_i$ is whether the individual takes the drug or not
- In terms of the general form of the LMM model, here $Z_{is} = (1, t_s)$ and $u_i = (u_{i1}, u_{i2})$

We build the following model:

 $y_{is} = (\beta_0 + u_{i1}) + (\beta_1 + u_{i2})t_s + \beta_2 x_i + \beta_3 t_s x_i + \epsilon_{is}$

- $t_s = \log(\text{week number} + 1), x_i$ is whether the individual takes the drug or not
- In terms of the general form of the LMM model, here $Z_{is} = (1, t_s)$ and $u_i = (u_{i1}, u_{i2})$

Hierarchical models

Example: check data in R data example 8



LMM for a multi-level design

 $y_{ics} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{PTHK}_{ics} + \beta_2 \text{SC}_{ics} + \beta_3 \text{TV}_{ics} + u_s + v_{cs} + \epsilon_{ics}$

- School effect: $u_s \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_u^2)$
- classroom effects: $v_{cs} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_v^2)$
- individual randomness: $\epsilon_{ics} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_e^2)$
- Correlation between students in the same classroom: for any $i \neq i'$

$$\operatorname{corr}(y_{ics}, y_{i'cs}) = \frac{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2}{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2 + \sigma_e^2}$$

• Correlation between students in the same school but different class-rooms: for any $c \neq c', i_1, i_2$

$$\operatorname{corr}(y_{i_1cs}, y_{i_2c's}) = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2 + \sigma_e^2}$$

LMM model estimation

Let the total number of individuals be N and total number of unique random effect terms be p_2 . In general, we can write down a matrix form of the LMM for the whole dataset:

$$y = X\beta + Zu + \epsilon$$

Here $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^{p_2}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^N$ are vectors of random variables, and X and Z are known matrices (Chapter 9.3.1).

For instance, if the data follows the random intercept model (model (1)), then

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}, X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}, Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & Z_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & Z_n \end{pmatrix}, u = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix}, \epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix}$$

LMM model estimation

In LMM, we assume that $u \sim N(0, \Sigma_u)$. If the data follows the random intercept model (model (1)), then $\Sigma_u = \text{diag}(\Sigma_u, \dots, \Sigma_u)$. Marginally, y follows the distribution that

$$y \sim N(X\beta, Z\Sigma_u Z^T + R_\epsilon)$$

where $R_{\epsilon} = \text{Cov}(\epsilon) = \sigma_e^2 I$.

Define $V = Z \Sigma_{u} Z^{T} + R_{\epsilon}$, if V is known, then then we have a closed-form MLE solution for β , which is

$$\tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\beta}(V) = (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T V^{-1} y$$

In practice, V is unknown, we will plug in an estimate \hat{V} and use the estimate

$$\widehat{\beta} = \widetilde{\beta}(\widehat{V})$$

How to find \widehat{V} ?

Residual ML (REML)

How can we estimate V without knowing β ?

The projection matrix in linear regression: $P_X = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$. Remember that the residuals of least square in linear regression is

$$(I - P_X)y = (I - X(X^T X)^{-1}X^T)y$$

Under the LMM model, we have

$$Ly = (I - P_X)y = (I - X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T)y = (I - P_X)(Zu + \epsilon)$$

where we define $L = I - P_X$. We know that

$$Ly \sim N(0, LVL^T)$$

thus the likelihood of Ly does not involve β and we can maximize this likelihood to find the estimate of V.

Prediction of the random effects u_i

- We may be interested in finding the groups that has high/low random effects.
- We use "prediction" instead of "estimation" as in LMM, u_i are random variables instead of unknown parameters
- Compared to fixed effect model that treat each u_i as different unknown parameters, in LMM we additionally assume $u_i \sim N(0, \Sigma_u)$
- Benefits:
 - Reduce the number of parameters
 - Borrow information across groups

BLUP: best linear unbiased predictor

We predict each u_i by an estimate of its posterior mean:

$$\hat{u}_i = \widehat{E}[u_i \mid y]$$

The joint distribution of y and u is

$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ u \end{pmatrix} \sim N \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X\beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Z\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{u}}Z^T + R_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} & Z\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{u}}Z^T & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \end{bmatrix}$$

From above we can get the conditional distribution $u \mid y$ which also follows a Normal distribution, the conditional expectation is

$$E[u \mid y] = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{u}} Z^T (Z \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{u}} Z^T + R_{\epsilon})^{-1} (y - X\beta) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{u}} Z^T V^{-1} (y - X\beta)$$

BLUP: best linear unbiased predictor

$$E[u \mid y] = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{u}} Z^T (Z \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{u}} Z^T + R_{\epsilon})^{-1} (y - X\beta) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{u}} Z^T V^{-1} (y - X\beta)$$

When V is known, our prediction will be

$$\hat{u} = \Sigma_{u} Z^{T} V^{-1} [I - X (X^{T} V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^{T} V^{-1}] y$$

which is the best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP).

In practice, V is not known, we can plug in the estimate of V (and Σ_u) from REML and get the predictor

$$\hat{u} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{u}}} Z^T \widehat{V}^{-1} [I - X (X^T \widehat{V}^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T \widehat{V}^{-1}] y$$