Lecture 12 single-cell multi-omics integration

Outline

- Multi-omics data integration
 - Integrate unpaired multi-omics data
 - Integration of scATAC-seq and scRNA-seq
 - Integrate paired multi-omics data
 - Integrate unpaired multi-omics data using paired data as bridges

Integration between scRNA-seq and scATAC-seq

Why do we integrate?

- Identify cell-specific regulatory network
- scATAC-seq data is extremely sparse → borrow information from scRNA-seq for better cell type annotation

Challenge: require extra information about feature connections



Integrative single-cell analyses

- Many technology only measure one modality of the single cells \rightarrow unpaired multi-omics data
- Experimental methods have been developed to measure multiple modalities but can be more expensive



Integration of scRNA-seq and scATAC-seq

- Seurat v3 (Stuart et. al. Cell, 2019) :
 - Obtain gene activity matrix using Signac for scATAC-seq, treat as scRNA-seq data and integrate
 - Similar ideas used in scloint (Lin et. al., Nature Biotech, 2022) and LIGER (Liu et. al., Nature Protocols, 2020)
- Coupled NMF (Daren et. al., PNAS, 2018)



- Core idea: perform coupled clustering, making sure that feature loadings are similar after transformations
- A: coupling matrix, gene-peak prediction matrix where each peak is predicted by sets of genes learnt from paired mRNA-ATACseq bulk data
- Challenges:
 - Single-cell and bulk level data can have platform specific biases
 - Can not guarantee that H_1 and H_2 can be properly merged

GLUE (Cao and Gao, Nature Biotech, 2022)



- General integration of unpaired multi-omics data
- Build a separate VAE for each modality data for cell embeddings
- Build feature embeddings using the variational graph auto-encoders (VGAE, Kipf and Welling, Arxiv, 2016)
- Build a guidance graph (signed and weighted, possibly multi edges between two nodes) based on prior knowledge on regulatory interactions across features from different modalities
 - Peak and gene are linked if they overlap with the gene body or proximal promoter regions

GLUE (Cao and Gao, Nature Biotech, 2022)

• Idea of VGAE

Data (input and output)

Definitions We are given an undirected, unweighted graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ with $N = |\mathcal{V}|$ nodes. We introduce an adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} of \mathcal{G} (we assume diagonal elements set to 1, i.e. every node is connected to itself) and its degree matrix \mathbf{D} . We further introduce stochastic latent variables \mathbf{z}_i , summarized in an $N \times F$ matrix \mathbf{Z} . Node features are summarized in an $N \times D$ matrix \mathbf{X} . Target Confounding covariates?

Inference model We take a simple inference model parameterized by a two-layer GCN:

$$q(\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} q(\mathbf{z}_i | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A}), \text{ with } q(\mathbf{z}_i | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i^2)).$$
(1)

Here, $\mu = \text{GCN}_{\mu}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A})$ is the matrix of mean vectors μ_i ; similarly $\log \sigma = \text{GCN}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A})$. The two-layer GCN is defined as $\text{GCN}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A}) = \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \text{ReLU}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}_0)\mathbf{W}_1$, with weight matrices \mathbf{W}_i . $\text{GCN}_{\mu}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A})$ and $\text{GCN}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A})$ share first-layer parameters \mathbf{W}_0 . $\text{ReLU}(\cdot) = \max(0, \cdot)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the symmetrically normalized adjacency matrix.

Generative model Our generative model is given by an inner product between latent variables:

$$p(\mathbf{A} | \mathbf{Z}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{N} p(A_{ij} | \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{z}_j), \text{ with } p(A_{ij} = 1 | \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{z}_j) = \sigma(\mathbf{z}_i^{\top} \mathbf{z}_j), \quad (2)$$

where A_{ij} are the elements of **A** and $\sigma(\cdot)$ is the logistic sigmoid function.

Learning We optimize the variational lower bound \mathcal{L} w.r.t. the variational parameters \mathbf{W}_i :

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X},\mathbf{A})} \left[\log p\left(\mathbf{A} \,|\, \mathbf{Z}\right) \right] - \mathrm{KL} \left[q(\mathbf{Z} \,|\, \mathbf{X},\mathbf{A}) \,|| \, p(\mathbf{Z}) \right], \tag{3}$$

GLUE (Cao and Gao, Nature Biotech, 2022)

Some further details:

- GLUE is robust to corruption of the graph even 90% of the edges are random
- How to combine the VGAE for feature embeddings and VAE for cell embeddings?
 - Cell embeddings are transformed based on feature embeddings
 - Linear decoder like SVD: for a cell *i* in dataset *k*, the predicted data has the form

 $\hat{\mu}_i^{(k)} = U_i \left(V^{(k)} \right)^T$

- Need extra penalty to assure that cell embeddings are aligned across modalities (correct for batch effects)
 - Train a classifier (discriminator) to separate different datasets based on the cell embeddings
 - Penalize the loss if the discriminator has small classification error



Single-cell multi-omics



 Paired single-cell multi-omics can be used as bridges to learn feature relationships across modalities

Simultaneous measure of mRNA and chromatin accessibility

SNARE-seq (Chen et. al., Nature Biotech 2019)





Simultaneous measure of mRNA and surface protein



- Proteins can more reliably indicate cellular activity and function
- Cell surface proteins: play crucial role in effective communication between the cell and its environment
- About 25% to 30% of human genes encode for membrane proteins
- Common technologies: REAP-seq (Peterson et. al., Nature Biotech 2017), CITE-seq (Stoeckius et. al., Nature Methods 2017)

CITE-seq workflow



Integrate paired single cell multi-omics data

- Seurat v4 (Hao et. al. Cell, 2021)
- Core challenge: need to consider multiple sets of features when calculating cell-cell similarity
- Core idea: calculate a weighted NN graph with cell-specific weights
 - Generate KNN graph within each modality
 - Within-modality and cross-modality prediction based on KNN (4 prediction values)
 - Calculate similarity between predicted values and observed values
 - For example:

$$\theta_{rna}\left(r_{i},\widehat{r}_{i,knn_{r}}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{-\max\left(d\left(r_{i},\widehat{r}_{i,knn_{r}}\right) - d\left(r_{i},r_{knn_{r,i,1}}\right),0\right)}{\sigma_{r,i} - d\left(r_{i},r_{knn_{r,i,1}}\right)}\right)$$

 Calculated cell-specific modality weights: higher weights on protein if protein neighbors predict better than mRNA neighbors → the neighbors better reflect the molecular state of the cell

$$s_{rna}(i) = \frac{\theta_{rna}(r_i, \hat{r}_{i,knn_r})}{\theta_{rna}(r_i, \hat{r}_{i,knn_p}) + \epsilon}, \ s_{protein}(i) = \frac{\theta_{protein}(p_i, \hat{p}_{i,knn_p})}{\theta_{protein}(p_i, \hat{p}_{i,knn_r}) + \epsilon}$$

$$w_{rna}(i) = \frac{e^{srna(i)}}{e^{srna(i)} + e^{sprotein(i)}}, \quad w_{protein}(i) = \frac{e^{sprotein(i)}}{e^{srna(i)} + e^{sprotein(i)}}$$

MOFA+ (Argelaguet et. al., Genome Biology 2020)

- Apply Linear factor model on the data
- Apply spike-and-slab prior on both the feature factors and cell factors
 - Result in sparse feature factors and cell factors
 - Very challenging to solve, the authors used stochastic variational inference
 - Can deal with non-Gaussian likelihood, but very slow
- Should be (easy) to allow missing blocks (mosaic data) when performing the factor analysis (not implemented in the paper)



Multi-omics cells as bridges to integrate unpaired data



StabMap (Ghazanfar et. al., Nature Biotech, 2024)

- Essential idea: imputing the missing entries using linear factor analyses
 - Simpler example integrating three datasets, scRNA-seq, scATAC-seq, SNARE-seq



- Core steps:
 - For each reference data r (a reference data can have only one modality), obtain a linear embedding of the cells (use PCA [no cell labels] or LDA if cell labels are given)

$$S_r = D_r^T imes A_r$$

- Dataset D_r (cell by gene), feature loading (embedding) A_r
- For dataset *i* that only overlap part of the features with *r*,
 - Predict the cell embeddings S_i^r using the linear regression $S_i^r = X_i^T \times A_r$

StabMap (Ghazanfar et. al., Nature Biotech, 2024)

- Core steps:
 - For each reference data *r* obtain cell embeddings
 - For dataset *i* that overlap part of the features with *r*
 - predict the cell embeddings S_i^r using linear regression
 - If dataset *i* doesn't have overlapping features with *r*
 - estimate S_i^r iteratively through a sequence of datasets that have overlapping features with each other
 - For each dataset, concatenate all embeddings as the final embedding
 - Can choose various reference datasets and concatenate

Predicted values using mode trained on reference Response: reference coordinates Predictors: shared feature values Intermediate reference Reference coordinates coordinates Reference dataset Model trained on intermediate reference Reference coordinates Reference coordinates reweighti

Reference

- Still need to perform batch correction on the final embedding
- Regression may not be the best way to do factor analysis with missing entries
 - For example, one can directly perform missing value SVD

• Build reference using scRNA-seq and map cells of any modality onto a shared latent space



Core steps:

• Data integration within modality across all datasets (can use various methods for batch correction)



- Only need to integrate low-dimensional space.
- When merging between multiome and unimodal data, can use other modality as supervision in dimension reduction
 - Supervised PCA (sPCA): Construct a cell-cell similarity matrix *L* using both modalities
 - Find U that maximized the Hilbert-Schmidt Independence Criterion (HSIC):

$$HSIC\left(\left(U^{T}X\right)^{T}U^{T}X,L\right)$$
$$=\frac{1}{\left(n-1\right)^{2}}tr\left(X^{T}UU^{T}XHLH\right)$$
¹⁹

Core steps:

• Construct dictionaries for each unimodal dataset



$$rgmin_{D_X}(||D_X(M^*_X)-X^*||_F^2+||D_X||_F^2)
onumber \ D_X=X^*(M^*_X)^\dagger$$

• Dimension reduction based on the multiomics data (*G* as KNN similarity defined based on M^*): $L = I = D^{-\frac{1}{2}} G D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

Find
$$U_L$$
 as the eigenvectors of the k
smallest eigenvalues (except 0) of L

• Map the unimodal data as the weighted average of the multi-omics cells

 $L_X = D_X U_L = X^* \left((M_X^*)^\dagger U_L
ight)$

$$L_Y = D_Y U_L = Y^* \left((M_Y^*)^\dagger U_L
ight)$$

• Align the two datasets



• Comparison with Seurat v3?

Seurat V3





- Core idea: smooth over similar cells and features to help find cell-cell pairs across modalities
- Inputs:
 - two unpaired single modality datasets
 - A pre-trained feature prediction model projecting both datasets on the same space
 - Noisy projection because the pre-trained model may not be reliable



- Initial smoothing of the projected data
 - Create meta cells within modality by Louvain clustering if data is too sparse
 - Find KNN for within each dataset based on the original feature space
 - (fuzzy) smooth the projected data by similar cells within each modality
 - A weighted average between itself and the smoothed representations

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{S}_Y(A;w)&=&wA+(1-w)\mathcal{A}_Y(A),\ \mathcal{S}_Z(B;w)&=&wB+(1-w)\mathcal{A}_Z(B). \end{array}$$

In this way, we define $\widetilde{Y}_{\mathtt{m}}^{\circ} = \mathcal{S}_Y(Y_{\mathtt{m}}^{\circ}; w_0)$ and $\widetilde{Z}_{\mathtt{m}}^{\circ} = \mathcal{S}_Z(Z_{\mathtt{m}}^{\circ}; w_0)$ with $w_0 \in [0, 1]$. $\mathcal{A}_Y(Y_{\mathtt{m}}) = K_Y^{-1}G_YY_{\mathtt{m}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_Y(Y_{\mathtt{m}}^{\circ}) = K_Y^{-1}G_YY_{\mathtt{m}}^{\circ}$

• G_Y : sparse Similarity matrix (KNN connectivity), $K_Y = \text{diag}(k_1^Y, \dots, k_n^Y)$: number of nearest neighbors

- Initial smoothing of the projected data
- Find initial matched pairs by optimal matching
 - D⁰: Euclidean distance between two cells cross modalities based on projected data

 $egin{aligned} & \min & \langle \Pi, D^\circ
angle \ & ext{subject to} & \Pi \in \{0,1\}^{n_y imes n_z} \ & \sum_i \Pi_{ij} \leq 1, orall j, \quad \sum_j \Pi_{ij} \leq 1, orall i, \ & \sum_{i,\,j} \Pi_{ij} = n_{\min}. \end{aligned}$

- Given the matched pairs of cells, perform CCA of two datasets in the original feature space
 - CCA for the features instead of cells in Seurat
 - Perform PCA first within each dataset to reduce dimension
 - Obtain a new joint embedding of all cells from CCA

$$Y_{\mathtt{m}}^{\mathtt{cc}} = Y_{\mathtt{m}}^{\,\mathtt{r}} \widehat{C}_y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y \times r_{\mathtt{cc}}} \text{ and } Z_{\mathtt{m}}^{\mathtt{cc}} = Z_{\mathtt{m}}^{\mathtt{r}} \widehat{C}_z \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z \times r_{\mathtt{cc}}}$$

- Iterative refinement
 - Compute joint mapping via CCA using matched pairs of cells
 - (fuzzy) smoothing over similar cells
 - Apply optimal matching to find matched pairs of cells
- Similar to Seurat, only using a subset of pairs of cells as the anchor (pivot) pairs



Related papers

- Stuart, T., Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Hafemeister, C., Papalexi, E., Mauck, W. M., ... & Satija, R. (2019). Comprehensive integration of single-cell data. cell, 177(7), 1888-1902.
- Lin, Y., Wu, T. Y., Wan, S., Yang, J. Y., Wong, W. H., & Wang, Y. R. (2022). scJoint integrates atlas-scale single-cell RNA-seq and ATAC-seq data with transfer learning. *Nature biotechnology*, 40(5), 703-710.
- Liu, J., Gao, C., Sodicoff, J., Kozareva, V., Macosko, E. Z., & Welch, J. D. (2020). Jointly defining cell types from multiple single-cell datasets using LIGER. *Nature protocols*, 15(11), 3632-3662.
- Duren, Z., Chen, X., Zamanighomi, M., Zeng, W., Satpathy, A. T., Chang, H. Y., ... & Wong, W. H. (2018). Integrative analysis of single-cell genomics data by coupled nonnegative matrix factorizations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *115*(30), 7723-7728.
- Cao, Z. J., & Gao, G. (2022). Multi-omics single-cell data integration and regulatory inference with graph-linked embedding. *Nature Biotechnology*, 40(10), 1458-1466.
- Chen, S., Lake, B. B., & Zhang, K. (2019). High-throughput sequencing of the transcriptome and chromatin accessibility in the same cell. *Nature biotechnology*, 37(12), 1452-1457.
- Ma, S., Zhang, B., LaFave, L. M., Earl, A. S., Chiang, Z., Hu, Y., ... & Buenrostro, J. D. (2020). Chromatin potential identified by shared single-cell profiling of RNA and chromatin. *Cell*, 183(4), 1103-1116.
- Peterson, V. M., Zhang, K. X., Kumar, N., Wong, J., Li, L., Wilson, D. C., ... & Klappenbach, J. A. (2017). Multiplexed quantification of proteins and transcripts in single cells. *Nature biotechnology*, 35(10), 936-939.
- Stoeckius, M., Hafemeister, C., Stephenson, W., Houck-Loomis, B., Chattopadhyay, P. K., Swerdlow, H., ... & Smibert, P. (2017). Simultaneous epitope and transcriptome measurement in single cells. *Nature methods*, 14(9), 865-868.
- Hao, Y., Hao, S., Andersen-Nissen, E., Mauck, W. M., Zheng, S., Butler, A., ... & Satija, R. (2021). Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. Cell, 184(13), 3573-3587.
- Argelaguet, R., Arnol, D., Bredikhin, D., Deloro, Y., Velten, B., Marioni, J. C., & Stegle, O. (2020). MOFA+: a statistical framework for comprehensive integration of multimodal single-cell data. *Genome biology*, 21, 1-17.
- Ghazanfar, S., Guibentif, C., & Marioni, J. C. (2024). Stabilized mosaic single-cell data integration using unshared features. *Nature Biotechnology*, 42(2), 284-292.
- Hao, Y., Stuart, T., Kowalski, M. H., Choudhary, S., Hoffman, P., Hartman, A., ... & Satija, R. (2024). Dictionary learning for integrative, multimodal and scalable single-cell analysis. *Nature biotechnology*, *42*(2), 293-304.
- Chen, S., Zhu, B., Huang, S., Hickey, J. W., Lin, K. Z., Snyder, M., ... & Ma, Z. (2023). Integration of spatial and single-cell data across modalities with weakly linked features. Nature Biotechnology, 1-11.